

# 2010 Nominations for AIBC Council

---

March 31, 2010

Architects and Honourary Members:

For your careful and thoughtful review, within is the candidate's statement and list of nominators for each of the twelve architects who have been nominated for election to Council. The original nomination forms and statements are also available for view at [www.aibc.ca](http://www.aibc.ca). All nominees are members in good standing who have declared their willingness to adhere to the standards, expectations and obligations required of an elected governing Council serving in the public interest.

Please refer to the ballot (enclosed) which includes directions for its use. Your package should also include both a ballot envelope and a return envelope. If you are missing any of these components, please contact Katherine Rau, [krau@aibc.ca](mailto:krau@aibc.ca), who can provide replacements.

Please take care to identify yourself clearly **only** on the outermost return envelope. (Excerpt from bylaw 22.1: *any returned ballot, which fails to comply with such directions, shall be declared invalid by the scrutineer and rejected.*)

Bylaw 23.4: *Ballots may be returned to the Executive Director up until the time announced by the President at the Annual Meeting for the closing of the voting. **Voting closes at the start of the Annual Meeting, 1:45 on Saturday May 8, 2010.***

Thank you all for exercising your franchise responsibility.



Michael Ernest MAIBC  
Executive Director

*The Architectural Institute of British Columbia is a self-governing regulatory body dedicated to excellence in the profession of architecture for the benefit of the public, its membership and the environment.*

# 2010 Nominations for AIBC Council

---

## **Elisa Brandts MAIBC, OAA, NSAA, MRAIC**

### Nominated by:

Paul Balas MAIBC

Carlos Castellon MAIBC

Ian H. McKay MAIBC

Geraldine Rayner MAIBC

Steve Rayner MAIBC

### Candidate's Statement:

Professionally, my background experience of some 26 years has been gained at a mixture of small and large firms as well as private practice, with very diverse project types. This experience has been gained in both robust and severely declining economies that challenged architects and clients alike. Seven years ago I accepted the offer to head PBK Architects in Vancouver and moved from Toronto. During the first year in Vancouver, I became registered with the AIBC thru reciprocity and was encouraged by the AIBC to join one of the volunteer committees. That began my involvement with the Institute and an introduction to many of the lower mainland's architects. I joined Council in 2005 until 2007 and then again from 2008 to present. During that time I have acted on the Editorial Advisory Committee, became Chairman of the Communications Board and this past year have acted as Treasurer, member of the Governance Task Force as well as Financial Task Force and a number of working groups and task forces of Council.

The firm I lead, PBK Architects Inc. has continued to grow with its main office in Vancouver, five smaller offices in Ontario and an associate office in Trinidad. We complete projects from coast to coast, into the USA and slowly, internationally and in the Caribbean. As a result, our team carries licenses in various provinces across Canada. We collaborate extensively with other firms, large and small. This continues to provide us with insight into Architectural issues of other firms and provinces. We have a large number of LEED accredited staff, have designed two of the first LEED Gold sports/spectator and recreation facilities in Canada and the USA, and are committed to sustainable practices.

I am seeking my third term on Council primarily because I see so many initiatives that have been started in the last couple of years which need to see some conclusion or at least have continuity of Council

in terms of understanding the needs and concerns of the members and making sure that these remain on the Council agenda. As a member and chair of various communications groups and initiatives, I strongly believe that the engagement strategy and clear transparent communications which is underway at the Institute needs to continue and become regular method of doing business. I am actively involved in the dialogue surrounding potential future changes to our legislation, the regulation of para-professionals, and the clarity and logic which is required for both our members and the public, in the public interest.

The ongoing need for advocacy of Architects and elevating the stature of architects within society needs to be emphasized by the AIBC in conjunction with the growing BC Chapter of the RAIC. A major effort in public outreach, education, advocacy for the profession and elevating the public perception and celebration of architects and their work is needed.

Initiatives around national continuing education, competency based assessment, (especially for foreign trained professional and syllabus interns) need to continue. The AIBC is a national leader in these areas and we need to continue our efforts and critical analysis of "status quo" on a host of issues.

We need to attract and educate new members to our aging organization. The involvement of younger people, attraction of interns and facilitation of registration is the ongoing challenge of changing demographics.

Finally, as part of the vision of Architects becoming leaders of the built environment, I'd like to see increased spirited dialogue amongst members and the public at large around issues of design, global environmental sustainability, changing technology and business environment

# 2010 Nominations for AIBC Council

---

## Robert G. Chester MAIBC

### Nominated by:

Michael Bjornson MAIBC

Allan Diamond MAIBC

Oscar Fletchas MAIBC

Loy J. Leyland MAIBC

Eric Stine MAIBC

### Candidate's Statement:

I believe the institute needs to restore its focus.

The Council of AIBC is not legislated into existence in order to have a life of its own or chart a new course. It seems obvious to me that the sole purpose of AIBC Council is to carry out the function that it was created for under the Architects Act as it prevails.

Adhering to this would preserve the AIBC as a strong and trusted institution, which is vitally necessary. I stand adhering to this.

Council is not the place for individuals seeking to enhance their reputation with grandiose schemes.

I support directing the AIBC to concentrate on its duty of monitoring professional conduct and licensing of architects, which is its prime function.

Beyond that, if the Architects Act is in any way failing in the regulation of architects, the appropriate action is to advise the provincial government.

AIBC has no call to regulate anything other than architects and the domain of architects.

Initiatives are inappropriate for a trustee and for AIBC, because it does not have direct accountability. In general, initiatives are susceptible to influence, or may be the product of influence, which must be guarded against.

Licensing fees levied under the powers of the Act should not fund any special initiatives that are extraneous to the prime function of the AIBC.

Expenditures should be clearly reported to the membership.

There are many legitimate ways architects could voluntarily and democratically organize to advocate for the profession, outside of drawing from mandatory licensing fees (i.e. money) levied under the statutory powers of the Act. Council should make room for other means of advocacy.

### Biographical Profile

2005 – present, MAIBC

1991, B.Arch. Carleton University

# 2010 Nominations for AIBC Council

---

## **Eszter Csutkai MAIBC**

### Nominated by:

Richard R. Balfour MAIBC  
Wilbert Bruegger MAIBC  
Erika Eve Gerson MAIBC  
Colin Kwok MAIBC  
M. Elizabeth MacKenzie MAIBC

### Candidate's Statement:

This is my second try to be a Council member.

#### Important outstanding issues:

1. Clear, transparent, truthful, effective and timely communication to MEMBERS with the ability for members to respond
2. Council to accept majority members' decision at SGM & AGM voting meetings
3. Regulate the profession according to the existing ACT.
4. Confirm the meaning of public interest, the relation of it to the profession and to the architect, and conduct the affairs accordingly

5. Concentrate the available resources to reinforce the ACT, promote architecture and work towards a healthy environment to practice architecture

6. Send clear message to the public, limit the categories to architects, associates and affiliates as intended in the Act without misleading titles, encourage and support those, who wants to practice architecture as an architect.

registered in BC from 1991

architect elsewhere from 35 years

# 2010 Nominations for AIBC Council

---

## **Pierre E. Gallant MAIBC AAA MRAIC**

### Nominated by:

Wayne DeAngelis MAIBC

Veronica Gillies MAIBC

Donald G. Hazleden MAIBC

Jean-Pierre Mahé MAIBC

Elizabeth Skotnicki MAIBC

### Candidate's Statement:

Without being presumptuous, I am seeking a position on Council to continue to give back to the profession, however small that contribution might be. The architectural profession is only as good as our combined efforts.

I am seeking a third 2 year term on the AIBC Council. Last year, I was privileged to be Council President, a position that admittedly was more demanding than anticipated but certainly rewarding. In addition to commitments to Council, I have maintained my participation to the Canadian Construction Documents Committee (CCDC) as one of 3 architects representing our profession. My "real" job is a principal in the firm Morrison Hershfield. I am a holder of a certificate of practice with other architects within the firm.

Last year, Council continued to develop the Leadership, Advocacy, Regulation and Knowledge (LARK) filters through which Council policies are tested. The competency based matrix (thanks to Scott Kemp MAIBC and Gordon Richards MAIBC) used to assess broadly experienced foreign trained architects (BEFA) has been further developed and adopted in principle across the land. Five guiding principles have been adopted by Council as a useful tool for helping discussions. Significant efforts were being conducted to better participate in discussions with Provincial Government, and, most

importantly, considerable engagement efforts are on-going with our members so that Council can better understand challenges and opportunities for all members. To date, the views expressed are far from unanimous, are generally measured, and expressed with the necessary desire to serve the public while making architects more successful. I would like to have the opportunity to continue the work that we started.

Much has been said on legislative renewal. Council is reducing efforts toward legislative renewal until, hopefully, a reasonable consensus is achieved among the membership. Whatever the outcome, it is in the public interest (and indeed our own) that we speak collectively on major issues, including legislation that gives us the privilege of self regulation. To have such a privilege is not universal, and it is disappearing in several jurisdictions in the western world.

My vision of architecture is: our profession, with all its members, is recognized by the public and the government as the "go-to" source for anything to do with buildings. Our conduct is such that self-regulation is the obvious choice for the best interest of the public. And since the public interest varies over time, we need to respond. We need to evolve with a culture of continuing improvement.

# 2010 Nominations for AIBC Council

---

## Veronica Gillies MAIBC, MRAIC, LEED AP

### Nominated by:

Wayne DeAngelis MAIBC

Pierre E. Gallant MAIBC

Martin H. Nielsen MAIBC

Nick Milkovich MAIBC

Nicholas O.W. Sully MAIBC

### Candidate's Statement:

Most architectural practices in BC will experience an agonizingly slow comeback after the poor and painful business conditions of the past 16 months. It is coincidental that the proposed new Architects Act has come to full light during this time with our membership divided in entrenched positions regarding the validity and merits of changing our current Act. Many perceive the proposal as a detrimental “**game change**” to our profession. Previous Councils who initiated this bold initiative envisioned a regulatory framework that supports the value statement that “**The public interest and the profession are both enhanced if the AIBC advocates for, and regulates, all designers of the built environment**”. Full stop. The rest of the story has yet to be written – in consultation with the membership. As a current Council member, I can frankly say that being amidst this maelstrom was akin to reaching for needed regulatory opportunities at the same time dodging bullets in the form of pesky unintended consequences of the proposal such as fee structures, scopes of work and titles. There will be no quick solutions but continuing open dialogue with the membership is promising and might just give us a much needed openness to consider change.

We as architects have a critical role in the creation of humanistic, performance-driven, and sustainable environments giving us a competitive edge and potentially a unique leadership opportunity to bring about cultural change in the design industry as a whole. However, this vision has to be embraced by the membership before any changes to the current Act takes effect. This buy in might result from a more considered proposal that precludes the necessity for us to protect our rear flank while boldly embracing a rapidly changing future...

I would like the opportunity to continue to participate in this critical and ongoing dialogue. Wherever we collectively decide to take this initiative, I hope the journey leads us to a regulatory framework that allows for an expanded footprint of design and service innovation, accountability to the public, increased collaboration between professionals and an augmented architectural toolbox that offers more leveraged opportunities for architects.

# 2010 Nominations for AIBC Council

---

## Joan Hendriks MAIBC

### Nominated by:

Colin W. Craig MAIBC  
Edward Dodson MAIBC  
Sara Z. Rahman MAIBC  
Gordon C. Richards MAIBC  
Lynne Werker MAIBC

### Candidate's Statement:

#### Education:

University of Waterloo: B. Arch 1993,  
B.E.S. 1991

#### Participation in professional boards and committees:

AIBC Council member and Registrar: 2004  
- 2005

AIBC Registration Board: member 2000 -  
2004, chair 2002 -2004

RAIC National Practice Program Internship  
Task Force: member 1999 - 2000

AIBC Professional Development Board:  
member 1997 - 2000

RAIC Festival Women in Architecture Panel,  
Vancouver BC: panellist June 1999

AIA Summit on Architectural Internship,  
Shaker Village KY: participant April  
1999

AIBC Intern Architect Committee: member  
1995 -1998, co-chair 1998

recent direction that Council is taking to improve communications. Council members are seeking feedback from members and provide responses to enquiries. I am interested in becoming more involved in this dialogue. The really interesting part will be the synthesis of this gathered information into something representing a schematic solution.

I had gained a great deal of knowledge about the registration policies and procedures in BC and other jurisdictions during my previous experiences on Council and committees, and was able to participate in creating some changes. I am encouraged to see continuing improvements in these areas, such as competency-based assessments, since there is much to be done. I feel that streamlining processes, such as registration and continuing education should continue, and consideration of alignment with national programs to improve efficiency.

There has been much debate about the future of the profession of Architecture in British Columbia. I believe that there is a role for associates within our professional boundaries, but I feel that it needs better definition than the current situation. I am interested in these discussions with my colleagues and around the Council table, and would like to work towards the changes necessary to meet the current and future needs of the profession and the public that we serve.

Thank you for your consideration.

#### NOMINATION STATEMENT

I am a practitioner in a small architectural firm and have worked previously in several mid-sized Vancouver firms. I would like to bring the concerns of those who work in smaller scaled practises to the council table, as well as presenting the perspectives of architects who are in the earlier stages of their practise.

I believe in collaboration. I try to be practical and sensible, and keep the big picture in mind. I am encouraged by the

# 2010 Nominations for AIBC Council

---

## **Michael Jaszczewski MAIBC, CP, LEED® AP**

### Nominated by:

Robert G. Chester MAIBC

Ajaz Hasan MAIBC

Robert Kleyn MAIBC

James Lau MAIBC

Agata Malczyk MAIBC

### Candidate's Statement:

I am a practicing Architect with Master Degree in Architecture from the Technical University of Warsaw, Poland. Both my parents are architects. My professional career covers all the aspects of architecture and design. I am also an instructor of perspective sketching at BCIT. I have practiced and taught architecture in Poland, Nigeria, Sweden and for the last 27 years, in British Columbia.

I have always regarded architecture as a profession of high integrity and complexity. We have to weigh and evaluate often non-comparable criteria of social, economical, technological, legal, cultural, aesthetic, historical and other aspects. We have to balance and compromise to achieve the best outcome. We have to propose solutions and guard their implementation while trying to be just and impartial to influences of various interest groups. These reasons make our profession so unique that in my personal opinion we, as the architects, should maintain the work environment clear of influences and conflicts with other parties. We should be creating and shaping the material infrastructure, enhancing cultural richness of the society, resolving social aspects of the design but we should stay away from becoming enforcement to the various groups of construction industry. In my opinion this line must clearly define the architectural

profession limits, otherwise our profession will disintegrate and become more and more shaped by other interests. The Architect is defining important and lasting public heritage and he/she serves the best to the public when free to do so.

Based on the above attempt of defining my professional philosophy, I am very concerned about Council reading of the Architects' Act and Membership accountability in the last few years. I do not see anything wrong with contemplating improvements - this is natural evolution and their mandate, but we, as the guardians of the Public interest should act with respect to the existing legal framework. I am seeking a position on AIBC Council to play my part and to make the Council a true representative of the Membership for the Public.

My vision for AIBC and profession is that we will work to promote architecture and Architects to be clearly understood by the public so that value of our work is appreciated and desired. I would like to reverse the unjust opinion that the architect's work is a waste of everybody's time and money, and that municipalities and developers will realize, that the cost of errors on site is much greater than the cost of a professionally prepared set of drawings and that good design is to everybody's spiritual and economical benefit.

# 2010 Nominations for AIBC Council

---

## Joseph M. Kardum MAIBC

Nominated by:

- Graham D. Fligg MAIBC
- Herman Kao MAIBC
- Georgina Lyons MAIBC
- Mitchell George S. Sakumoto MAIBC
- Louise Webb MAIBC

Candidate's Statement:

**BIOGRAPHICAL PROFILE:**

I feel privileged to have the opportunity to offer myself for election to the AIBC Council.

I was raised in Ontario and British Columbia, often working as part of my family's construction business. I studied history at the University of Victoria and architecture at UBC. Presently I am an associate with a mid-sized architecture firm.

**WHY I AM SEEKING A POSITION ON COUNCIL:**

**Proportional Representation**

As a former member of the AIBC Intern Architect Committee, and a current participant of the oral review panels, I would like to further my service as one of the young(ish) members of the profession. I believe that the AIBC Council should reasonably represent the cross section of AIBC members, and as such, a greater representation of the emerging generation of Architects is warranted. I see this as an opportunity to help ensure the policies that are set in place today will help the profession grow stronger and benefit all members.

**WHAT I HOPE TO ACCOMPLISH IF ELECTED:**

**Build Relationships**

I would like to focus on fostering good relations with the public and with jurisdictional authorities so that we may promote the knowledge, presence, and skills of Architects within our communities. Architects need to communicate to the community what we do for the community so that the value of the profession is fully recognized.

**WHAT MY VISION IS FOR THE ARCHITECTURAL PROFESSION:**

**Stronger Voices**

I envision a profession that will progress to be stronger in the face of change. Architects must evolve as construction techniques advance, economies develop, and emerging professions take form in order to ensure that the profession not only remains relevant, but that we are also universally recognized as advocates for the public good. A strong profession of forward thinking Architects, with strong voices, will continue to serve the best interests of society and garner the respect of our communities.

# 2010 Nominations for AIBC Council

---

## Robert Kleyn MAIBC

Nominated by:

- Jerome Doll MAIBC
- Brian K. Dust MAIBC
- Derek Neale MAIBC
- Alexander Smith MAIBC
- Tom Staniszakis MAIBC

Candidate's Statement:

BIO

Robert Kleyn studied architecture at UBC in the early 1970s and then apprenticed in Rome, working in architecture, film and theater, and doing projects with international agencies such as the UN Environmental Program, Regional Seas and the FAO in Africa, Asia and the Middle East. He lived in New York in the early 1980's, returned to Vancouver in 1990, then taught architecture at the University of Detroit and the University of Michigan while working for several Detroit firms and became a registered architect. Currently he practices architecture in Vancouver as Robert Kleyn Architect. He taught in the degree program at BCIT and now teaches design at Emily Carr University. A recipient of numerous Canada Council grants, he has served on the Rome Prize and Venice Biennale juries.

STATEMENT

Last year I ran for Council, having attended many of the Ad Hoc meetings concerned about the lack of clear direction that the legislative renewal was taking. This is still of great concern to me, but at the same time I believe we need to do more to accommodate new forms of practice, particularly those of the young and small firms where the future of our profession lies. I am now a sole practitioner, after years of having worked in firms large and small, here in Vancouver as well as in the US and Europe. I would like to see the AIBC do more to support the contribution that small firms and individual practitioners make to the profession and to our society. There is no doubt we are seeing another technological shift with the introduction of BIM, and we need a critical understanding of how this affects architects and firms large and small, as well as our relationships to parallel professions. Clarifying how architects serve the public interest both through our professional practice as well as our participation in civic life and through pro bono work will also help us focus our energies and resources.

# 2010 Nominations for AIBC Council

---

## Kirsten Reite MAIBC

### Nominated by:

Richard W. Bolus MAIBC

Shanti Ghose MAIBC

Sid W. Johnson MAIBC

Albert M. Leung MAIBC

Rodney B. Windjack MAIBC

### Candidate's Statement:

I am seeking a position on the AIBC council to become an active member and integral part of upholding, evolving and elevating our profession.

As MAIBCs we understand the profession of Architecture demands rigor, dedication, thoughtfulness, attentiveness and most of all the ability to adapt to change. It is a mixture of artistic, technological and sociological pursuit, each with its own complex and independent rate of change. As the world rapidly changes, so does the field of architecture therefore we must find the equilibrium between observing the historical values of our profession, what we all work so hard to uphold, while keeping our mind and eyes open to accepting new ideas that might benefit our practice.

As a long time intern architect and a relatively new MAIBC, I have observed what has been a vocal year regarding issues surrounding the Architects Act and the consideration of allowing others to join that may have not followed a similar academic path. I can empathize equally with both sides of this debate. Retaining and upholding the traditions inherent in professional practice is of prime importance so we don't undermine our value to the public but we also must consider the cost of our actions if we are exclusionary. Often our own prejudice, fear and ego get in the way of finding solutions to our problems. This is not new and has transcended human kind for

millennia. I'm a firm believer that when issues can be discussed openly and dissected to their very essence, extracting all fear, ego and doubt, often the solutions are very simple and right in front of us.

If elected to council I will honour the most important value; to serve and represents the needs and interests of the public, the profession as a whole, and its registrants. If this means going against the grain of the majority I will welcome it as long as the path ahead allows our profession to become a stronger, more unified body with a clear identify. I believe this will help fortify our value to the public, other professions, and act as an incentive to younger generations of up and coming architects to complete their studies and stand proudly as new MAIBC's.

### **Biographical Profile**

#### Education

University of Victoria, Bachelor of Fine Arts, 1990

University of British Columbia, Bachelor of Architecture, 1995

#### Employment

Hewitt Tan Kwasnicky Architects 1997-98

CEI Architecture 1998- 2010, Associate

2003-2008, Partner 2008-2009,

Director of Healthcare 2010

#### Professional

IABC 1995-2007, MAIBC 2008-2010

Member AIBC Healthcare Committee since November 2009

# 2010 Nominations for AIBC Council

---

## **Gordon C. Richards MAIBC**

### Nominated by:

Selwyn Dodd MAIBC

Gary Fields MAIBC

F. Russell Hollingsworth MAIBC

Stuart B. Howard MAIBC

Nan Legate MAIBC

### Candidate's Statement:

I have been a member of the AIBC since 1982, and a certified professional since 1984. I have practised architecture in a variety of different venues and with a number of building types. Most recently in my career, I have specialized in building code consulting and CP work, and am now a principal at Gage - Babcock and Associates Ltd.

### Why am I seeking another term on Council?

I have been on Council for several years now, and have served as Registrar from November, 2005 to May 2009, and as Vice President since May of 2009. In addition, I have been a member of the Registration Board, the Illegal Practice Committee, and the Qualifications Committee. I have been on the Canadian Architectural Certification Board (CACB) since June of 2006, have been president of that organization since November of 2008, and will serve on the board until November of 2012. Involvement in some of the processes of the Institute by way of participation in working committees, oral reviews, and other basic functions of the AIBC has increasingly informed my participation in Council meetings. I think I am a better Council member as a result, and have more to offer the AIBC now than I did as a new council member.

### What do I hope to accomplish if elected?

For the past several months, Council has been involved with a member engagement initiative which will involve more member forums, and also meetings with small groups of MAIBCs in order to get a broad range of input and opinion on issues facing the AIBC. As part of this initiative I have met with several groups, usually with another council member. These groups have included some of the intermediate sized architectural firms, some of the AIBC committees, and several ad hoc small gatherings. I find that I enjoy these smaller sessions. They have without exception been respectful, interesting, and fun. In these smaller venues, we can have complete conversations, and find common ground, or agree to disagree. I hope that those who participate can know they have been heard, and can feel that they have had a chance to express themselves completely. This work is intense and rewarding, and if re-elected, I hope to continue it in my next term. Council needs this feedback.

Volunteer committee members and the AIBC Registration Department have been working hard to consolidate the ground-breaking work of recent years in the area of performance based competency for architects. It has been my deep pleasure to

## 2010 Nominations for AIBC Council

---

participate with them in this endeavour, and it is gratifying to see the other Canadian jurisdictions asking for the assistance of the AIBC to set up a national program for broadly experienced foreign architects. My participation in the CACB gives me an opportunity to further these initiatives on the national level, and to participate in the exchange of these same ideas and issues on Council.

Registered architects, with their unique exposure to liability and level of responsibility, must be in a position to operate competently in a market that has included non-registered and unregulated designers. The AIBC now includes associates who are not architects, but who are regulated by the AIBC and who are providing services directly to the public within the exclusions of the Architects Act. I will support initiatives that will help to clarify to the public the distinct difference between architects and other kinds of associates.

Further, I believe the AIBC has an obligation to require a specified level and range of competencies for any associate who provides services directly to the public, and that credentials involving minimum educational, experience, and examination requirements must be in place. This is work in progress at the AIBC requiring fairness, rigour and discipline, and as a council member, I hope to continue to participate in it.

### What is my vision for the profession of architecture?

I would like to see the profession of architecture evolve in such a way that I could be enthusiastic about the possibility that one of my children might wish to pursue it. I hope to see the day when most architects are appropriately respected and compensated for their knowledge, skills and efforts. It is my intent to work towards a professional environment where this is possible, and where all players involved in the design of the built environment are expected to meet high ethical and regulatory standards.

# 2010 Nominations for AIBC Council

---

## Lynne Werker MAIBC

### Nominated by:

Laurence W. (Chip) Barrett MAIBC

Joan Hendriks MAIBC

Lillian M.N. Mah MAIBC

Calvin Bruce Meiklejohn MAIBC

Brian O. Nelson MAIBC

### Candidate's Statement:

I am a sole proprietor seeking a position on council because I care about the practice of architecture and the future of the profession. I care that what we do today will proffer well for those who follow in our footsteps.

After attending the Special General Meeting in November 2008 I decided to educate myself regarding the work of council and the AIBC. I decided to get involved and want to contribute positively to the future of a profession I studied so long and hard for, because I cared and still care about design and the human experience in the built environment. I have had the experience of being an employee in large firms working on large and significant projects, and the now long experience of being a sole proprietor of my own firm since 1986, working on much less prominent projects but working directly with the people whose lives and businesses are impacted by what I do, informing my practice through their experience.

I am passionate about the future of the profession and maintaining the scope of options available to future architects. I respect the whole gamut of practice, the range is broad and deep, and recognize the needs and demands of each type of practice can be quite different.

As a council member I will work to listen to and try to understand the concerns of the members with regards to issues pertaining to change. I will work on rebuilding trust and transparency between the organization and the professional members. I will work on the productive engagement and involvement of members, open discussion prior to action, useful reporting and accounting. I have spent the last year informing myself of the work of council, the governance structures and actively engaged and learned much from the many conversations I have had with colleagues. Questions I would like to explore are: What is meant by acting in the public trust, what is wrong with the Architects Act and what changes are needed, and for whose benefit? I would also like to see the separation of the core regulatory work of the AIBC from the other work of the institute so that there can be some measure and evaluation how monies might be spent and have been spent on areas which are not core.